



Northern News

NORTHERN SECTION, CALIFORNIA CHAPTER, AMERICAN PLANNING ASSOCIATION

Coyote Valley Specific Plan: Creating a New Town Based on Smart Planning Principles

By Salifu Yakubu

Background

Coyote Valley is situated 12 miles south of downtown San Jose and is envisioned as the next generation of integrated living and working environments in Silicon Valley. The Coyote Valley Specific Plan (CVSP) area consists of 7,000 acres of mostly undeveloped land in the southern reaches of the City of San Jose. It is generally bounded by Tulare Hill, Highway 101/ east foothills, the City of Morgan Hill, and the hills to the west. It is divided into three sub-areas: the North Campus Industrial area (1,400 acres), the Urban Reserve (2,000 acres), and the Greenbelt to the south (3,600 acres).

consultants headed by the Dahlin Group and KenKay Associates. Other members of this stellar team include Economic and Planning Systems, HMM Engineers, David J. Powers & Associates, Wetlands Research Associates, Schaaf & Wheeler, ENGEO, Hexagon, Basin Research, Lowney Associates, SAGE, and Apex Strategies.

The vision statement directs minimum development capacities of 50,000 jobs and 25,000 dwelling units with 20% affordable housing. It also seeks to create a highly livable, pedestrian and transit friendly community with a variety of housing types, schools, parklands, trails, bicycle paths, transit, commercial centers, job centers, and other community services. Given this vision, preliminary planning analysis indicates that the new Coyote community could have a population density of 21 persons/acre. While this density is higher than the City's existing Urban Service Area average of 10, it is lower than the averages of downtown Vancouver (300 persons/acre), Manhattan (144 persons/acre), New York City (52 persons/acre), and San Francisco (26 persons/acre). Since the inception of this planning effort, the CVSP staff and consultants have had several meetings with the Greenbelt property owners to explain the process and vision statement, which directs that the Greenbelt must remain a non-urban buffer between the Cities of San Jose and Morgan Hill.

Staff has encountered concerns from the Greenbelt property owners who feel excluded from the process, as their lands are not being planned for urban development. Their experiences indicate that agriculture is no longer viable, and they have continued to request urban development. With a few exceptions, the Greenbelt properties are unincorporated where they are planned and zoned for Agriculture, requiring 20-acre minimum parcel sizes.

(continued on page 4)

OCTOBER 2004

The magnitude of the CVSP is far greater than any specific plan completed to date in San Jose.

San Jose made smart growth decisions for Coyote Valley more than two decades ago by designating the three sub areas and

requiring balanced development that would benefit San Jose and the region. Recognizing the Valley's relative isolation from the rest of San Jose, the City's General Plan directs future development to be in the form of a "balanced, independent community with jobs, housing, retail and community facilities, schools, parks, other community services, infrastructure and public transit—in effect a new town."

Ground Rules for Developing the Coyote Valley Specific Plan

In August 2002, the City Council initiated the Specific Plan process, appointed a 20-member Task Force, and adopted a vision statement with 15 expected outcomes as the guiding principles for Coyote Valley. The Task Force meets once a month and includes elected officials, property owners, environmental advocates and other stakeholders.

Assisting the Task Force in this planning effort are staff from the City's Planning Department, and a professional team of award-winning

DIRECTORY

Director

Hing Wong, AICP 510/464-7966

Immediate Past Director

Jeri Ram, AICP 925/833-6617

Director Pro-Tem

Juan Borrelli, AICP 408/277-8541

Treasurer

Tim Woloshyn, AICP 415/896-1186

Administration Director

Billy Gross, AICP 510/528-5765

AICP Director

Don Bradley, AICP 650/592-0915

Awards Program Director

vacant

Communications Director

Jerry Haag 510/644-2106

Ethics Review Director

vacant

International Director

Jennifer Andersen, AICP 510/494-4648

Legislative Director

Kit Faubion, AICP 510/351-4300

Membership Director

Christopher Wolf, MPIA 415/412-2672

Professional Development Director

Christina Ratcliffe, AICP 510/272-4491

Student Representatives

Adam Binstock 408/293-1538

Claire Hilger 415/710-8054

University Liaison

Zack Dahl 831/465-0677

Regional Advisory Committees (RACs)

East Bay

Jennifer Carmen, AICP 510/215-4330

Monterey Bay

Michael Bethke, AICP 831/459-9992

North Bay

Pat Collins 707/523-1010

Peninsula

Andrea Ouse, AICP 650/985-2590

Redwood Coast

George Williamson 707/825-8260

San Francisco

Wendy Tinsley 415/421-1680

South Bay

Anthony Drummond 408/277-4282

Newsletter Editor

Ann Welsh, AICP 408/868-1230

Advertising Director

Marta Self, AICP 925/988-9188

Newsletter Designer

Juliana Pennington 415/824-4375



specializing in visuals
for the planning and
design professions

Juliana Pennington

Graphic Design

Technical Illustration

Communications Design

Exhibit Planning & Design

DIRECTOR'S NOTE

by Hing Wong, AICP

With the U.S. national election only weeks away, I urge everyone who is a citizen to go out and do their civic duty. Hopefully, you've already registered to vote. Participation in elections has been on a general downward trend for many decades. But it seems that so much more is at stake now. Are we content to head down the current course or should we move towards a different direction?

The California Chapter APA Conference in Palm Springs is next week. I'll give a wrap-up in the next *Northern News*. And just on the heel of the state conference will be our very own 2005 APA National Conference, which is only 5 months from now! We have been planning and preparing this event for over 1.5 years and it's exciting to see everything coming together. The official program will be sent in late November. It will detail all the conference sessions and mobile workshops, and include registration information.

Congratulations to all of the California Planning Foundation (CPF) winners! Over \$25,000 was awarded in scholarships this year! Five top winners are from U.C. Berkeley: **Connie Galambos** (Outstanding Student Award-First Place); **Jessica Levine** (Outstanding Student Award-Runner Up); **Mara Baum** (California Planning Roundtable- Richard G. Munsell Scholarship); **Jessica Zenk** (County Planning Directors-Ken Milam Scholarship); and **Claire Hilger** (Northern Section Award). CPF also congratulates four Student Merit Award winners: **Karen Babbitt** (San Jose State University); **Anne Oreind Decker** (U.C. Berkeley); **Katja Irvin** (San Jose State University); and **Jessica Levine** (U.C. Berkeley).

I would also like to welcome some new members onto the Northern Section Board. **Claire Hilger** (U.C. Berkeley) and **Adam Binstock** (San Jose State University) are the new Student Representatives. **Wendy Tinsley** is the San Francisco RAC. **Marta Self** has moved over from Awards Program Director to Advertisement Director. This means that we have an opening on our Northern Section Board for someone to coordinate the Awards program. Please contact me if you're interested.

Web Picks of the Month

California Planners' Book of Lists

If you don't already have one, you might want to download a copy of the 2004 version of OPR's California Planners' Book of Lists. The publication contains the results from OPR's 2003 local government planning and survey and includes a chart summarizing the status of local general plans. It also provides directories of city and county planning agencies, Councils of Government, Local Agency Formation Commissions, and state and federal agencies. It's not available in print. You can find it at <http://www.opr.ca.gov/publications/>.

The Planning Commissioner's Handbook

The newly updated Planning Commissioner's Handbook by the League of California Cities is available on-line in sections or for purchase. New subjects, new sections and a total reorganization promise to make this one of the most useful resources available for planning commissioners today.

Go to <http://www.ilsg.org/index.jsp?zone=ilsg> for more information.

NEWSLETTER INFORMATION

EDITORIAL

Ann Welsh, AICP

editor

13777 Fruitvale Avenue
Saratoga, CA 95070

Tel: 408/868-1230

Fax: 408/867-8555

awelsh@saratoga.ca.us

ADVERTISING/JOB

Marta Self

McGill Martin Self, Inc.

1600 South Main Street, Suite 332
Walnut Creek, CA 94596

Tel: 925 988 9188

mself@mms-inc.net

ADDRESS CHANGES

Membership Department

APA National Hqtrs.

122 South Michigan Ave., Ste.1600

Chicago, IL 60603-6107

312/431-9100

The deadline for submitting materials for inclusion in the *Northern News* is the 16th day of the month prior to publication.



Logo Competition Winner Josh Schramm

Graphic Designer and artist Josh Schramm is the winner of a state-wide competition for

the creation of a conference logo for the 2005 American Planning Association (APA) Conference in San Francisco. An invitation was extended to all interested

parties to submit logo designs for consideration. This was an open competition judged by the 2005 Conference Co-Chairs and selected Steering Committee Chairs. The Committee selected Josh Schramm's logo.



The 2005 Logo Competition Winner pursued a degree in Graphic Design at Pacific Northwest College of Art in Portland, Oregon. Since college he has worked creating websites, logos, booth designs, brochures, etc. for a variety of cities, non-profit organizations, and private sector clients. Currently, Josh is a Graphic Designer for Cotton/Bridges/Associates in Sacramento, California. You can see Josh's artwork in Galleries around Sacramento, or on his website www.joshschramm.com.

Planning School: The Emotion Chart

by Claire Hilfiger

In every country where there is a Peace Corps office, there is a Peace Corps medical office and in that office invariably hangs the chart. The chart lists the various stages of emotions you will go through during your service, for example: "Month 13: Why am I here? I will definitely not achieve my goal of saving the world in the next few months - maybe I should go home." I always found this chart reassuring. It was nice to know that everyone else was going through the same thing.

As I enter my second year in a masters program in city planning, the masters' student emotion chart is beginning to take shape.

Week 1: First Kiss

This is so exciting. It's hard to believe I can go to a café in the middle of the day and people think I am actually working. I have so much free time. There are so many interesting classes, how will I learn it all in just four semesters? Maybe I'll take 20 units every semester and audit a couple of classes. Everyone here has so much more experience than I do.

The rest of the first semester: Overboard without a life jacket

What classes should I take? There are just too many options. Everything I want to take conflicts with everything else - I'll never get to graduate on time. How should I know what I want to concentrate on? Everyone else seems to know what they are doing; should I know what I'm doing by now? I'm going to spend the rest of my waking hours for the next two years reading in depth, insightful articles on city planning, that's the only way I'll ever be able to pass these courses. I thought being a planner meant you did _____, but everyone here seems to think planning is

_____. I have no idea what these people are talking about, they all must be experts the way they sling that lingo around - TOD, MHP, SFR, LIHTC, CEQA, FHA, FHLB, NEPA, EIR, FAR, GIS, APA, ULI, ISTEPA, TIP, TAD, Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac, and the ever elusive floating zones.

Second Semester: The Wise Fool

The professor doesn't actually expect you to do the reading; it's more of a suggested list for fun. I never knew a happy hour with all planners could be so much fun.

Third Semester: Swimming Upstream with Cement Boots

There is no way I can possibly write a thesis/report. I will never graduate. These classes are too much work. Everyone else seems to know what they are doing; should I know what I'm doing by now? My summer internship was wholly unrelated to what I'm learning here. All of that good planning we did got whittled away through public meetings and politicians. What is the point of what I'm doing? How will I make any kind of impact as a planner? Besides, I won't make any money. How will I ever pay back my loans and get a 1st time homebuyer loan on a Planner II salary? Maybe I should apply to law school. MBAs seem like useful things.

Last Semester: Survivor

I just need to get this over with. Whatever it takes for them to sign off on my thesis/report is worth doing. I can't wait to get out of here, and not have to deal with all this stress. (Something in the back of your head reminds you of stress from your old job and perhaps why you quit and went back to school. You suppress this.) It will be so nice to stop thinking so much and to have my weekends back. I want to be able to eat out again.

Graduation Week: Amnesia

How did it go by so fast? There were so many cool classes I wanted to take, but there just weren't enough hours in the day. I wish I could stay a student forever and never have to look for a job. Working just seems so stressful and limited. Looking for a job is such hard work. Maybe I should take some time off before I start working...

Claire Hilfiger was a 2004 Northern Section - California Planning Federation Scholarship Winner and is a Northern California Section student board representative.

Coyote Valley... *(continued from page 1)*

What makes the Coyote Valley Specific Plan Special?


The magnitude of the CVSP is far greater than any specific plan completed to date in San Jose. It is an exciting opportunity to design and facilitate the creation of a new community that is sensitive to its environment, integrates land uses and all modes of transportation, provides affordable housing, and meets other objectives embodied in the City's General Plan. It is a comprehensive planning effort that involves the preparation of a specific plan with detailed land uses, transportation, public facilities, and infrastructure systems. Associated elements include a development phasing plan, implementation measures, market analyses, fiscal impact study, design guidelines, zoning districts, and an Environmental Impact Report.

While there are other large-scale, mixed use, master planned communities throughout the United States, they consist of primarily lower density housing types and work places over larger areas than Coyote Valley. Prominent master planned communities include Irvine Ranch CA (43 square miles), Stapleton CO (4,700 acres), and Celebration FL (4,900 acres). Playa Vista CA on the west side of Los Angeles is an example of a newly planned community that is expected to have 13,000 homes and 21,000 jobs on 1,087 acres—a development intensity similar to what is envisioned in Coyote Valley.

Community Participation and Outreach

Community participation has been key to this process since its inception. In keeping with the City's long-standing practice of involving the community in its planning efforts, and given this project's scale, complexity, and civic and regional significance, the Task Force considered an extensive outreach plan early on in the process.

Since the first Task Force meeting in September 2002, the CVSP process has proceeded with extensive community outreach and discussions with several governmental and non-profit agencies, and other stakeholders. To



- from Planitizen.com

Model Lighting Ordinance Released For Comment

The International Dark-Sky Association has released its draft Model Lighting Ordinance for public comment.

The IDA is a 10,000-member organization that is working to stop the "adverse environmental impact on dark skies" from light pollution. Eleven states and more than one hundred municipalities have adopted light pollution ordinances. IDA's Model Lighting Ordinance is now being made available for public review.

See <http://www.darksky.org/ordsregs/positionlo.html> for more information on the proposed model ordinance.

Who Should Pay For Citywide Wi-Fi?

Wireless networking is becoming an increasingly popular amenity for cities and towns. But who will pay for it?

"The wrinkle in the public-service spin on Wi-Fi is who will bear the cost for the service. The answer splits proponents into two camps, and both are problematic. On one side are those who see wireless broadband as a public amenity—a basic service that cities and towns should provide free to residents as they do, say, trash pickup. Missing from this scenario is consensus on how municipalities, perennially short on funds, will pay the Wi-Fi tab."

See http://www.technologyreview.com/articles/04/09/wo_asbrand092104.asp?trk=nl
 Source: *Technology Review*, Sep 22, 2004.

date, the Task Force has met 21 times including six community workshops, of which four Saturday workshops occurred this year. These weekend workshops have drawn an average attendance of over 140 attendees, with the monthly Task Force meetings averaging approximately 50.

A Technical Advisory Committee meets monthly, as does various technical sub-committees and staff from City Departments.

Staff has also made periodic presentations to staff of key City Departments and public agencies including the Department of Public Works, Valley Transportation Authority, Santa Clara Valley Water District, County Parks and Recreation, and County Environmental Resources Agency. City and County Commissions that have also been provided with progress reports at key stages of the process include the City's Planning, Housing, and Parks Commissions, as well as the County's

(continued on next page)

Professional Development

AICP Exam Workshops

Exam preparation workshops for the November 2004 AICP Exam are scheduled on the following Sundays:

- October 3rd
- October 24th
- November 7th

Please call Dr. Don Bradley @ 650-592-0915 for times, directions, guest speakers, and more info.



Coyote Valley ... *(continued from page 4)*

Planning, and Parks and Recreation Commissions.


The CVSP process is also supported by a frequently updated website at www.sanjoseca.gov/coyotevalley/. The website is a critical outreach tool as it allows 24-hours-a-day, real time access

Composite Infrastructure Framework



to announcements of upcoming CVSP events, as well as all agendas, reports, presentations, and publications that have previously been discussed at Task Force meetings and community workshops. It has also enabled people from far and wide to transmit their input or inquiries to staff on the CVSP via email.

Task Force meetings and community workshops are open to the public and are professionally facilitated by Eileen Goodwin of Apex Strategies. While most participants to these meetings have typically come from the San Jose metropolitan area, we have had participation from other counties, including Alameda, Contra Costa and San Benito.



The degree of outreach and public participation involved in the CVSP process is unprecedented for any previous planning effort in San Jose. Community meetings are routinely advertised in community newspapers and post card mailings. The CVSP process has had television, radio, and newspaper coverage. Three community newsletters have been sent to a

1,600-person database to keep the public apprised of critical stages of the planning process. A fourth newsletter is in preparation and will be distributed by the middle of this month.

A New Approach to the Specific Plan Process

Because of the potential sensitivity of several environmental resources in Coyote Valley, and the City's desire to create a model community


based on innovative planning and design, the Task Force and CVSP planning team embarked on a new approach for preparing this specific plan. The approach involved a shift from a land planning driven process to one that evolves from the existing natural environment or Environmental Footprint. This new approach led to a multi-phased planning process comprising Technical Analysis, Foundation Infrastructure and Land Use Concepts, Development of the CVSP and EIR, and Recommendation and Approval (see Process Diagram).

a. Technical Analysis (7/03–12/03): The first phase consisted of the completion of background information regarding existing conditions, constraints, and opportunities within the Valley. It involved compiling existing data, collecting new data as needed, and documenting and mapping the information for use in subsequent phases of the project. The technical areas covered in this phase included land use, biology, hydrology, geology, hazardous materials, traffic, civil engineering, and archeology/historic resources. These analyses and data provide the background information for subsequent phases of the CVSP, as well as the existing setting sections of the CVSP Environmental Impact Report (EIR).

b. Foundational Infrastructure and Land Use Concepts (01/04–09/04): This phase consists of developing a preliminary land planning and urban design framework, formulating the building blocks of the new Coyote community, and creating conceptual alternatives of potential infrastructure systems. The conceptual alternatives are analyzed against several criteria (see Filtering Criteria) such as technical, economic, regulatory feasibility, etc. by the CVSP consultants, City staff, and public agencies such as the Valley Transportation Authority.

These analyses culminated in a draft Composite Framework of potential infrastructure elements. While recognizing its preliminary nature and the absence of precise information on such items as the cost, the Task Force accepted the draft

(continued on next page)



Coyote Valley ... (continued from page 4)

Composite Framework as a skeletal foundation for the draft land use plan, both subject to further refinement and change.

The Composite Framework (see attachment) includes the following four potential elements:

- A focal lake, providing flood storage somewhere near the intersection of Bailey Avenue and Santa Teresa Boulevard, is envisioned as a future community core and catalyst for starting development in Coyote Valley.
 - A spoke configured transit system offers Coyote Valley the most coverage and flexibility for future growth. In the near term, it is envisioned that the transit could circulate on a fixed guide-way with fun custom-designed rubber-tired "Bus Rapid Transit" vehicles.
 - The restoration of Fisher Creek involves its reconstruction to the original location along the hillsides to the west of the CVSP area, as evidenced in a Thompson and West map dated 1876. Relocation could provide for additional flood conveyance required for urban development.
 - A multi-function parkway system with merges and loops handles high volume of traffic instead of traditional streets. The parkway could be landscaped and contain bio-filtration swales.
- c. Development of CVSP and EIR (10/04–9/05): This phase will identify the locations and intensities of land uses, determine infrastructure and community service needs, formulate financing and implementation programs, and evaluate the phasing of the plan elements, as may be necessary. Proposed Zoning Districts and Design Guidelines will also be prepared in an effort to provide clear direction for future development. Greenbelt strategies would be developed in this phase, as will an

Environmental Impact Report

In the next several months, the CVSP staff and consultants will be working with the Task Force, and at community workshops, to develop conceptual land use plans based on the draft Composite Framework. This Composite Land Use Plan, together with the Composite Framework, would form the basis for the CVSP and associated elements and documents.

- d. Recommendation and Consideration (9/05–12/05): In this phase the CVSP package, including the specific plan, design guidelines and zoning districts, and EIR would be presented at hearings before various Commissions prior to City Council consideration. The entire package is expected to be submitted to the City Council for consideration in December 2005.

Conclusion

The CVSP will undoubtedly be one of the most ambitious and exciting planning opportunities ever undertaken in California. The goal is to create a model of sound planning and responsible growth for cities throughout the state and the country. The CVSP is a critical component of San Jose's future, and it is important that we do it right. This includes involving all the affected stakeholders and adhering to essential principles of smart growth, balanced development, and greenbelt protection. This is the right time to begin the Coyote Valley planning effort, so that when the need for growth occurs, San Jose will be ready with a plan in place to guide the creation of a unique new community based on exemplary urban design and environmental sustainability.

*By Salifu Yakubu, Principal Planner,
San Jose Department of Planning,
Building and Code Enforcement*



**ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT
URBAN & REGIONAL PLANNING
RESOURCE MANAGEMENT**

Sacramento 916-325-4800 San Francisco 415-362-1500 Los Angeles 310-268-8132

DYETT & BHATIA

- GENERAL PLANS
- URBAN DESIGN
- SPECIFIC PLANS
- ZONING
- ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING
- GIS

san francisco
Tel. 415 956 4300

san diego
Tel. 619 232 3166
info@dyettandbhatia.com
www.dyettandbhatia.com



Korve Engineering

Transportation Planning Civil Engineering Traffic Engineering

"Progressive Solutions for the 21st Century"

155 Grand Avenue
Suite 400
Oakland, CA 94612
(510) 763-2929



Chandler W. Lee, AICP
Contract Planner

940 Diamond Street
San Francisco, CA 94114
Phone: 415.282.4446

VWA Vernazza Wolfe Associates, Inc.

5464 College Ave. Suite C • Oakland, CA 94618
Tel. 510-596-2475 • Fax 510-652-5605

- Affordable Housing Strategies
- Real Estate Market Analysis
- Fiscal Impact Studies
- Redevelopment Planning

B M S
DESIGN GROUP

Urban Design
Land Planning
Landscape Architecture

414 Jackson Street, Suite 404
San Francisco, CA 94111
Telephone 415 249 0130
Facsimile 415 249 0132
mail@bmsdesigngroup.com



Jones & Stokes

General and Specific Plans • CEQA/NEPA Compliance
Public Participation • Conservation & Open Plans
Environmental Planning & Studies • Zoning

Sacramento, San Francisco, Oakland, San José, Bakersfield and Irvine
www.jonesandstokes.com



Denise Duffy & Associates, Inc.
Land Use Planning and Environmental Consulting

Environmental Assessments
Natural Resources Management
Permitting/Monitoring
Community/Urban Planning

Monterey 831-373-4341

Reno 775-853-6090

San Jose 408-451-8438

NORTHERN SECTION CALENDAR

October

- 3** AICP Exam Prep Workshop - see page 4
- 4** 2005 Local Host Committee - Steering Committee, 6:00 pm-8:00 pm,
ABAG Conference Room B, 101 Eighth Street, Oakland
- 17-20** California Chapter APA Conference, Palm Springs, California
- 24** AICP Exam Prep Workshop - see page 4

OCTOBER						
S	M	T	W	T	F	S
					1	2
3	4	5	6	7	8	9
10	11	12	13	14	15	16
17	18	19	20	21	22	23
24	25	26	27	28	29	30
						31

November

- 4** NSCCAPA Board, 6:30 pm-8:30 pm
ABAG Conference Room B, 101 Eighth Street, Oakland
- 7** AICP Exam Prep Workshop - see page 4

NOVEMBER						
S	M	T	W	T	F	S
	1	2	3	4	5	6
7	8	9	10	11	12	13
14	15	16	17	18	19	20
21	22	23	24	25	26	27
28	29	30				

- December 7-9** Institute on Planning Zoning and Eminent Domain.
Westin Saint Francis Hotel, San Francisco.
Visit: www.cailaw.org for more information

APA Northern News
 EDITORIAL OFFICE
 Ann Welsh,
 13777 Fruitvale Avenue
 Saratoga, CA 95070

FIRST CLASS MAIL
 U.S. POSTAGE
 PAID
 Hayward, CA
 Permit No. 2

Send To:

For more membership news and information, including job postings, visit www.norcalapa.org.